Role of PMIx with Containers in HPC Environments

Joshua Hursey Spectrum MPI Developer jhursey@us.ibm.com



PMIx ASC 2Q 2021 Quarterly Meeting



Overview

In this presentation:

- Explore the role of PMIx as a conduit cross the container boundary between the runtime environment, tools, and the PMIx client.
- MPI used as an example PMIx client, but any PMIx client is impacted in a similar way.
- Paper: "Design considerations for building and running containerized MPI Applications"
 @ CANOPIE-HPC Workshop 2020.
 - What do you need to consider when building & running containerized MPI applications on HPC systems?

A few things to recall about containers:

- Processes running in a container instance can directly access the host OS and devices.
- Containers cannot contain the kernel-space parts of libraries only the user-space parts.
- Difference between a containerized process and a bare metal process: The file system mounts, namespaces, cgroups, capabilities, and security profiles.
 - The container runtime defines these aspects with the host OS.



Launching (containerized) MPI Processes

- The Resource Manager (RM) assists the MPI library in a number of critical tasks:
 - Launching MPI processes,
 - Enabling processes to discover information about the allocation,
 - Facilitating communication establishment between processes,
 - Event notifications, and more.



- Direct Launch: Launching (containerized) processes with the system provided launcher
 - **Examples**: Slurm's srun, ALPS' aprun, JSM's jsrun
 - <u>Primary advantage</u>: Most tightly integrated/supported on the system. Faster launch times.
 - Primary disadvantage: Might force other design decisions depending on capabilities.
- Indirect Launch: Launching (containerized) processes with the MPI provided generic launcher
 - **Examples**: mpirun, mpiexec
 - <u>Primary advantage</u>: Launcher can be included in your container image. Use the mapping, binding, and ordering options you know (and love).
 - <u>Primary disadvantage</u>: Requires a two-step launch (daemons then application)



One container instance per-process? or per-node?

- **One container per-process (1-per-proc)**: Container as a static application binary
 - Use the resource manager to launch 1 container per process on all nodes
 - Uses the RM infrastructure on the machine including optimizations for managing images
 - Processes are contained from one another: additional container boundary MPI considerations



- **One container per-node (1-per-node):** Container as a static execution environment for apps
 - Resource manager launches 1 container per node, under which all processes are launched
 - No boundaries between MPI processes, and only 1 container instance per node





PMIx to talk across container boundaries

- **PMIx:** A community defined, standard API for programming libraries and tools to interact with the RM in as abstract of a manner as possible.
 - Connects RM Servers (like Slurm, JSM) with Tools (like debuggers) and Clients (like MPI libraries) across container boundaries.



Clients (e.g, MPI, OpenSHMEM)





PMIx to talk across container boundaries

 PMIx Implementation outside the container (in the Resource Manager, Scheduler, Tool, ...) must be able to talk to the PMIx Implementation inside the container (in the MPI library, launcher program, ...).





Clients (e.g, MPI, OpenSHMEM)





Aging in Place (cross-version considerations)



- As the container image and the HPC system software levels evolve they will drift apart!
- Container "matches" the system (B == A)
 - No problems as the software levels match
- Container is "newer than" the system (B > A)
 - A newer application uses an established HPC system
- Container is "older than" the system (B < A)
 - A HPC system software update causes this with established containers
- A sysadmin approved "Base Image" could help "older than" more easily become "matching"



Conclusion

- What is the role of PMIx in a containerized HPC environment
 - A community defined, standard API connecting programming library clients, tools, and resource managers in as abstract of a manner as possible.
 - Recognize that these three roles may exist across a container boundary so crossversion issues are a problem. The problem is not exclusive to PMIx.
 - PMIx implementations should have **a plan for negotiating version differences** across the container boundaries.





Clients (e.g, MPI, OpenSHMEM)

Thank you.

